

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,328	01/20/2006	Alois Maier N	Y-HUBR-1291-US(10600638	2248
24972 7590 04/30/2008 FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP			EXAMINER	
666 FIFTH AVE NEW YORK, NY 10103-3198			NILAND, PATRICK DENNIS	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/565,328 MAIER ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Patrick D. Niland 1796 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 January 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 26-36.38-45 and 47-53 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 26-36, 38-45, and 47-53 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S6/06)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/565,328 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

 The amendment of 1/30/08 has been entered. Claims 26-36, 38-45, and 47-53 are pending.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 26-36, 38-45, and 47-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Pat. No. 6462127 Ingrisch et al..

Ingrisch discloses the instantly claimed polyurethane dispersions at the abstract; column 1, lines 4-67; column 2, lines 1-67; column 3, lines 1-67, particularly 44-67 which broadly encompasses the instantly claimed invention; column 4, lines 1-67, particularly 18-67, which encompasses the instantly claimed ingredients and amounts thereof. It is noted that the instant claim recites ">12" regarding component A and the disclosure's preferred amount of the instantly claimed component A is 0.3-12%. However, the instant claims recite "comprise", which encompasses the instant components of the patentee. The instantly claimed amounts appear to be based only on the claimed components, not the additional components encompassed by "comprise". The ingredients of column 5, lines 1-8 are additional to those of the instant claims. Taking the amounts of column 5, lines 1-8 of the patentee from the patentee's

Art Unit: 1796

composition and using the larger amounts of the instantly claimed component A of the patentee gives amounts within those of the instant claims since removing the amounts of column 5, lines 1-8 lowers the amount of the denominator used to calculate the amounts. Furthermore, the amounts overlap due to experimental error in making such measurements and because the broader disclosure of the patentee encompasses larger than the preferred amounts of the instantly claimed component A. Note also column 5, lines9-67; column 6, lines 1-67, column 7, lines 1-67; column 8, lines 1-67; column 9, lines 1-67; column 10, lines 1-19, which encompasses the instantly claimed high molecular weight; column 11, lines 18-67; column 12, lines 1-67; column 13, lines 1-44 which discloses the instantly claimed substrates; and the remainder of the document which encompasses the limitations of the remaining claims, particularly the examples and the claims.

The applicant has not probatively shown any additional ingredients of the patentee to materially affect the basic and novel characteristics of the composition. It is therefore not seen that "consisting essentially of" excludes any of the additional ingredients of the reference. See MPEP 2111.03 and 2163 for the definition of "consisting essentially of". The applicant argues "Applicants respectfully submit that due to the amendment the presently claimed polyurethane dispersion consisting of components A-G is novel over the dispersion described by Ingrisch, which in addition to components A-G, also comprises components H and E." This argument is not persuasive for the above reasons regarding "consisting essentially of" and because the claims are not limited by "consisting" as argued by the applicant. The instant claim 47 is a method that recites "comprising" and therefore includes the addition of other ingredients due to the open nature of the claim. The rejection is maintained for the above reasons.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,328 Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

 Claims 26-36, 38-45, and 47-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat. No. 6462127 Ingrisch et al.,

Ingrisch discloses the instantly claimed polyurethane dispersions at the abstract; column 1, lines 4-67; column 2, lines 1-67; column 3, lines 1-67, particularly 44-67 which broadly encompasses the instantly claimed invention; column 4, lines 1-67, particularly 18-67, which encompasses the instantly claimed ingredients and amounts thereof. It is noted that the instant claim recites ">12" regarding component A and the disclosure's preferred amount of the instantly claimed component A is 0.3-12%. However, the instant claims recite "comprise", which encompasses the instant components of the patentee. The instantly claimed amounts appear to be based only on the claimed components, not the additional components encompassed by "comprise". The ingredients of column 5, lines 1-8 are additional to those of the instant claims. Taking the amounts of column 5, lines 1-8 of the patentee from the patentee's composition and using the larger amounts of the instantly claimed component A of the patentee gives amounts within those of the instant claims since removing the amounts of column 5, lines 1-8 lowers the amount of the denominator used to calculate the amounts. Furthermore, the amounts overlap due to experimental error in making such measurements and because the broader disclosure of the patentee encompasses larger than the preferred amounts of the instantly claimed component A. Note also column 5, lines 9-67; column 6, lines 1-67, column 7, lines 1-67; column 8, lines 1-67; column 9, lines 1-67; column 10, lines 1-19, which encompasses the instantly claimed high molecular weight; ; column 11, lines 18-67; column 12, lines 1-67; column 13, lines 1-44 which discloses the instantly claimed substrates; and the remainder of the

Application/Control Number: 10/565,328

Art Unit: 1796

document which encompasses the limitations of the remaining claims, particularly the examples and the claims.

It would have at least been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instantly claimed invention to use the ingredients and amounts thereof and the reaction parameters of the instant claims in making the dispersions of the patentee because these are encompassed by the disclosure of the patentee and would have been expected to give the properties of the dispersions of the patentee. It would have at least been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instantly claimed invention to use the instantly claimed amounts of the instantly claimed component A in the dispersions of the patentee because using one % more than the upper level of the preferred amounts of the patentee that read on the amounts of the instant claims will not give a significantly different result and will give only predictable results to the ordinary skilled artisan, i.e. 12% to 13% is not expected to give much difference and is encompassed by the broad disclosure of the patentee as preferred mode does not teach away from other values and the broad disclosure encompasses broader values of the amount of A. The applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are not persuasive for the reasons stated above and the teachings of the patentee.

The applicant has not probatively shown any additional ingredients of the patentee to materially affect the basic and novel characteristics of the composition. It is therefore not seen that "consisting essentially of" excludes any of the additional ingredients of the reference. See MPEP 2111.03 and 2163 for the definition of "consisting essentially of". The applicant argues "Applicants respectfully submit that due to the amendment the presently claimed polyurethane dispersion consisting of components A-G is novel over the dispersion described by Ingrisch,

Application/Control Number: 10/565,328

Art Unit: 1796

which in addition to components A-G, also comprises components H and E." This argument is not persuasive for the above reasons regarding "consisting essentially of" and because the claims are not limited by "consisting" as argued by the applicant. The applicant has provided no probative showing that is commensurate in scope with the instant claims and the cited prior art showing the argued chemical stability of the product according to the instant claims that the instantly claimed inventions give any unexpected chemical stability over the compositions of the cited prior art. The instant claim 47 is a method that recites "comprising" and therefore includes the addition of other ingredients due to the open nature of the claim. The rejection is maintained for the above reasons

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,328 Page 7

Art Unit: 1796

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to Patrick D. Niland whose telephone number is 571-272-1121. The
examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 10 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Patrick D Niland/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1796